Practitioner's Docket No. 2550/118

DAC

PATENT.

PATENT.

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re application of:

Yakov Reznichenko

Application No.: 10/047,566

Group No.: 2872

Filed: 01/15/2002

Examiner: Robinson, Mark

Optical Switching System and Apparatus with Integral Covering Lens For:

**Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 **Alexandria VA 22313-1450** 

# PETITION TO REVIVE APPLICATION ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY FOR FAILURE TO REPLY TO A FINAL REJECTION

- 1. This application became abandoned on October 16, 2004.
- 2. This application became abandoned because the failure to prosecute was an unintentional delay. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date until the filing of this petition was unintentional 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3). 00000003-10047566

12/14/2004 HALTI1

01\_FC:1454

01 FC:1454

1<del>370.00</del>-0P

Void date: 12/14/2004 HALI11

10047566

-1370.00 OP

## CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10\*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

### **MAILING**

X	deposited with the United States Postal Service in P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	n an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents,
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10*
X	with sufficient postage as first class mail.	☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No (mandatory)
	TRA	ANSMISSION
	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)	
		Signature
Date: December 10, 2004		Jeffrey T. Klayman
		(type or print name of person certifying)

\* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term

adjustment calculations.

Petition to revive application abandoned unintentionally for failure to reply to a final rejection—page 1 of 2 © 2004 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

# 3. Reply

With respect to the outstanding requirements applicant files herewith a request for continued examination (RCE) accompanied by a submission and the requisite fee) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114.

# 4. Fee (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m))

Applicant's status is: Other than a small entity — fee: \$1,370.00

RCE fee:

\$ 790.00

Total:

\$2,160.00

#### 5. Fee Payment

Attached is a check in the amount of \$2,160.00.

WARNING: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.

Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment to deposit account no. 19-4972.

Date: December 10, 2004

(Signature of person making statement that abandonment was unintentional)

Jeffrey T. Klayman 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110

Date: December 10, 2004

Jeffrey T. Klayman Registration No. 39,250 Bromberg & Sunstein LLP 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110-1618 US

617-443-9292

Customer No. 02101

02550/00118 349005.1